



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/926,766	05/22/2002	Hajime Kurosawa	011600	3012	
38834 75	90 04/21/2005	04/21/2005		EXAMINER	
	N, HATTORI, DANIE	LIU, MING HUN			
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2675		

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	09/926,766	KUROSAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ming-Hun Liu	2675			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	,			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ater Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,696,985 to Houston in view of US Patent 5,711,624 to Klauber and in further in view of US Patent 5,880,685 to Weeks.

In response to claims 7 and 8, in figure 1 of Houston's disclosure, Houston teaches the layout of a standard English QWERTY keyboard. As shown in figure 1, a standard English keyboard includes a middle key array having an extreme right key being a BACKSPACE key; and uppermost key array having an extreme right key being a DELETE key, however Houston does not teach the improvement of including a right and left thumb space bar feature.

Klauber teaches a standard English keyboard that as seen in figure 2 and column 5, lines 30-31, Klauber teaches a started keyboard, a key layout corresponding to a 101/104 English keyboard where, a lowermost key array having a pair of a lowermost key array having a pair of left and right keys centrally juxtaposed in a home position for left and right thumbs of a user. Klauber teaches on column 5, line 31-34 that one of said left and right keys being an SPACE key and the other one of said left and right keys being a "non-alphanumeric function" key. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art would to include the two thumb bars because as

Art Unit: 2675

explained by Klauber, adding the two thumb bars increases the accuracy, efficiency and convenience of the keyboard (column 3, lines 15-20).

Adding Klauber's reference alone does not fully anticipate the claimed invention as, Klauber does not explicitly state making the left key an ENTER/RETURN key. One skilled in the art understands that the ENTER/RETURN key is a widely used non-alphanumeric key, furthermore as shown in the Weeks reference, the ENTER/RETURN key is one of such keys that an inventor has suggested to place in position of the left thumb of the last row (figure 1). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, as taught by Klauber and Weeks to place a widely used non-alphanumeric key, such as the ENTER/RETURN key, at in the left spacebar position for easier and more convenient typing.

Lastly, as shown from the figures, all three references rely on mechanical keys and not soft keys that require reassignment.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,766

Art Unit: 2675

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ming-Hun Liu whose telephone number is (571)272-7770. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-3638. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ming-Hun Liu

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4